

## Faculty Senate Assessment Council

December Meeting

Wednesday December 1 at 11AM

### Minutes

In attendance: Cara Berg (previous year's chair), S. Hill, M. Conrad, DJ Moore, M. Laughlin, H. An, A. Lewis

- I. Quick hello and check in
- II. Approve minutes for the September meeting
  - a. Approval of minutes. No corrections. Heejung An moves to approve, Mike Laughlin 2<sup>nd</sup>, unanimous approval, no objections/abstentions
- III. Discuss results of the faculty survey (Spring 2021)
  - a. Some notable trends include:
    - i. Mostly taken by faculty. Increase in adjunct faculty this time
    - ii. CHSS largest population
    - iii. Patterns in shifts to online assessments
    - iv. 80% felt very prepared to assess online
    - v. OIE might find value in the listed outside resources utilized
    - vi. Several wanted to learn more about preventing academic dishonesty and found blackboard glitch/tech issues. Some specifically mentioned Honor Lock issues
- IV. Begin draft on results of the faculty survey
  - a. Cara will create a draft for committee to provide specific survey analysis feedback & recommendations for the senate to move forward with (i.e., collaborative assessment workshop)
  - b. Suggestions also made to recommend to the faculty senate to better utilize this committee to determine and implement meaningful assessment-related professional development for faculty/staff which can include program/college/university level support
- V. Timeline for remaining charges
  - a. Charge 1 – survey we are currently working on
  - b. Charge 2 – Cara will work with OIE to determine replacement for Sesime
  - c. Charge 3&4 – Sandra will share survey data for committee to determine which charge we will work on in spring 22.

VI. Program review?

- a. We are waiting to see if this committee will play a role in program review.\*

VII. Set week of next meeting

- a. Cara will send out doodle poll for next meeting (likely first two weeks of spring 22 semester).

*\*Shortly after this meeting, Cara and Mark received an email from Wendy Christensen clarifying that the Senate will not be developing anything that can be used to evaluate programs and that a meeting will be scheduled with EAB and the councils for discussion on using their data.*